



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

[Handwritten Signature]

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/711,893	10/12/2004	David B. Wagner	47315.0039	5892
43378	7590	03/30/2007		
KENNETH C. WINTERTON			EXAMINER	
HOLLAND & HART LLP			GORTAYO, DANGELINO N	
P. O. BOX 8749			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DENVER, CO 80201-8749			2168	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE
3 MONTHS		03/30/2007		PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/711,893	WAGNER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dangelino N. Gortayo	2168	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 January 2007.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-63 is/are pending in the application.
 - 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-63 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on 12 October 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The amendment filed 1/10/2007 have been received and entered. Claim 39 has been amended. Claims 1-63 are now pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1-62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Arakawa et al. (US Patent 7,085,788 B2).

As per claim 1, Arakawa teaches “A system for use in providing data storage and data copies over a computer network, comprising:” (see Abstract)

“a storage server system comprising one or more data storage servers that each comprise a data storage device and a network interface,” (Figure 1, Figure 13, Figure 21 references 100, 190, column 4 lines 1-13, column 13 lines 35-57, column 18 line 62 – column 19 line 24, wherein a storage device or a plurality of storage devices are connected to a system host) “each of said data storage servers operable to

communicate over said network interface with at least one application client that will require data storage and at least one other data storage server;" (Figure 1, Figure 13, Figure 21 references 600, 690, column 4 lines 6-24, column 13 lines 37-45, column 18 line 62 – column 19 line 24, wherein a storage device or a plurality of storage devices are connected to a mainframe host computer containing management software for data storage)

"and a data management system comprising at least one data management server" (Figure 1 reference 100 and column 4 lines 1-10) "operable to (a) define at least a first and a second cluster each comprising one or more data storage servers," (column 4 lines 23-42, column 11 lines 37-45, column 18 line 64 – column 19 line 7, wherein one or more storage device can be assigned as storage device A, B, and C, with each able to represent a plurality of storage devices) "(b) define at least one primary volume of data storage distributed over at least two of said storage servers within one of said clusters, said primary volume storing data from the application client," (column 4 lines 43-53, column 13 lines 47-58, column 19 lines 8-24, wherein logical volumes are defined in storage device A that can represent data stored in a plurality of storage devices, acting as the primary data storage unit) "(c) define at least one remote volume of data storage distributed over one or more of said storage servers within one of said clusters;" (column 4 lines 43-49, column 13 lines 47-58, and column 19 lines 11-37, wherein logical volumes in a different storage device separate from storage device A is defined) "(d) create snapshots of said primary volume;" (column 10 lines 26-31, wherein a snapshot of the logical volume is made) "and (e) copy data from said

snapshots over the computer network to said remote volume.” (column 10 lines 32-39, column 15 line 37 – column 16 line 28, wherein data written in the volumes of storage device A is also copied to volumes of storage device B)

As per claim 2, Arakawa teaches “each of said snapshots provides a view of the data stored at said primary volume at the point in time of said snapshot.” (column 10 lines 22-26)

As per claim 3, Arakawa teaches “an application client is operable to read data stored in said snapshots at said primary volume.” (column 15 lines 17-23)

As per claim 4, Arakawa teaches “an application client is operable to read data stored in said snapshots at said remote volume.” (column 15 lines 17-23)

As per claim 5, Arakawa teaches “each snapshot includes data that has been modified at said primary volume since a previous snapshot of said primary volume.” (column 16 lines 8-14, wherein snapshots are updated from the last snapshot taken)

As per claim 6, Arakawa teaches “said snapshots are copied to remote snapshots associated with said remote volume.” (column 16 lines 20-27, wherein snapshot is sent to storage device B)

As per claim 7, Arakawa teaches “said snapshots are copied from said primary volume to said remote volume and at least a second remote volume distributed over one or more of said storage servers within one of said clusters.” (column 19 lines 8-17)

As per claim 8, Arakawa teaches “said snapshots are copied from said primary volume to said remote volume and at least a second remote volume distributed over one or more of said storage servers within one of said clusters,” (column 19 lines 8-17)

"and wherein the source of said snapshots copied to said second remote volume is selected based on at least one of the volume most likely to be available, the least loaded volume, the volume with the highest bandwidth connection to the network, and the volume with a least costly connection to the network." (column 21 lines 13-23)

As per claim 9, Arakawa teaches "said snapshots are copied from said primary volume to said remote volume and are copied from said remote volume to a second remote volume distributed over one or more of said storage servers within one of said clusters." (column 19 lines 25-37)

As per claim 10, Arakawa teaches "said snapshots are created according to a predetermined schedule defined by said data management system." (column 10 lines 26-31)

As per claim 11, Arakawa teaches "said snapshots are copied to remote snapshots associated with said remote volume according to said predetermined schedule." (column 10 lines 32-39)

As per claim 12, Arakawa teaches "said data management system is further operable to designate said primary volume as a second remote volume that is not able to write data from application clients." (column 12 lines 7-24)

As per claim 13, Arakawa teaches "said data management system is further operable to designate said remote volume as a second primary volume, said second primary volume storing data from at least one application client independently of said primary volume." (column 12 lines 7-24)

As per claim 14, Arakawa teaches “said remote volume is designated as said second primary volume following a failure of said primary volume.” (column 13 lines 10-15)

As per claim 15, Arakawa teaches “said remote volume is designated as said second primary volume following a determination by a user to create a second primary volume.” (column 13 lines 25-34)

As per claim 16, Arakawa teaches “said data management system is further operable to designate said primary volume as a second remote volume that is not able to write data from application clients.” (column 20 lines 15-24)

As per claim 17, Arakawa teaches “said data management system is operable to copy data from a snapshot of said second primary volume to said second remote volume.” (column 20 lines 38-53)

As per claim 18, Arakawa teaches “said data management system is operable to generate a snapshot of said primary volume prior to designating said primary volume as said second remote volume.” (column 20 lines 48-52)

As per claim 19, Arakawa teaches “said data management system is operable to resynchronize said primary volume with said second primary volume.” (column 20 lines 46-48)

As per claim 20, Arakawa teaches “said primary volume comprises a plurality of logical blocks of data.” (column 4 lines 50-59, wherein the logical volume of data is in storage devices organized in blocks of data)

As per claim 21, Arakawa teaches “each of said plurality of logical blocks of data comprises a plurality of physical blocks of data, each physical block of data comprising a unique physical address associated with said data storage device and data to be stored at said unique physical address.” (column 4 lines 50-59)

As per claim 22, Arakawa teaches “said snapshots comprise pointers to logical blocks of data stored at said cluster.” (column 16 lines 11-16)

As per claim 23, Arakawa teaches “each of said logical blocks of data are copied from said primary volume to said remote volume and at least a second remote volume distributed over one or more of said storage servers within one of said clusters,” (column 19 lines 8-17) “and wherein the source of each of said logical blocks of data copied to said second remote volume is selected based on at least one of the volume most likely to be available, the least loaded volume, the volume with the highest bandwidth connection to the network, and the volume with a least costly connection to the network.” (column 21 lines 13-23)

As per claim 24, Arakawa teaches “said network interface is adapted to connect to one of an Ethernet network, a fibre channel network, and an infiniband network.” (column 4 lines 8-13)

As per claim 25, Arakawa teaches “said data management system is operable to copy data from said snapshots to said remote volume independently of network protocol.” (column 4 lines 6-10, I/O paths)

As per claim 26, Arakawa teaches “said data management system is operable to copy data from said snapshots to said remote volume independently of network link bandwidth.” (column 4 lines 6-10)

As per claim 27, Arakawa teaches “said data management system is operable to copy data from said snapshots to said remote volume independently of network latency.” (column 4 lines 6-10)

As per claim 28, Arakawa teaches “said data management system is operable to copy data from said snapshots to said remote volume at a selected maximum bandwidth.” (column 21 lines 27-30)

As per claim 29, Arakawa teaches “said selected maximum bandwidth is adaptively set based on the network bandwidth capacity and utilization of the network.” (column 20 lines 3-8)

As per claim 30, Arakawa teaches “said selected maximum bandwidth is adjusted based on time of day.” (column 20 lines 3-8)

As per claim 31, Arakawa teaches “said first primary volume is located at a first cluster and said first remote volume is located at a second cluster.” (column 5 lines 17-22)

As per claim 32, Arakawa teaches “said first cluster and said second cluster are located at different geographic locations.” (column 21 lines 31-37)

As per claim 33, Arakawa teaches “said data management server is a distributed data management server distributed over one or more data storage servers.” (Figure 1, 12, 13, 21)

As per claim 34, Arakawa teaches “said data management server is further operable to redefine said primary volume to be distributed over one or more data storage servers that are different than said at least two data storage servers while copying data from said snapshots over the computer network to said remote volume.”

(Figure 1, 12, 13, 21)

As per claim 35, Arakawa teaches “said data management server is further operable to define at least one replica volume of data storage distributed over one or more of said data storage servers within one of said clusters, said replica volume storing data stored at said primary volume.” (column 35-46)

As per claim 36, Arakawa teaches “said data management server is operable to create snapshots of said replica volume corresponding to said snapshots of said primary volume,” (column 19 lines 8-17) “and wherein the source of said snapshots copied to said remote volume selected based on at least one of the volume most likely to be available, the least loaded volume, the volume with the highest bandwidth connection to the network, and the volume with a least costly connection to the network.” (column 21 lines 13-23)

As per claim 37, Arakawa teaches “in the event of a failure associated with said primary volume, said data management server is operable to copy said snapshots from said replica volume to said remote volume.” (column 13 lines 10-15)

As per claim 38, Arakawa teaches “said failure is at least one of a data storage server failure and a network failure.” (column 13 lines 10-15)

As per claim 39, Arakawa teaches “A method for copying data from a primary data storage volume to a remote data storage volume in a distributed data storage system, comprising:” (see Abstract)

“defining a first primary volume of data storage distributed over at least two data storage servers within a first cluster of data storage servers,” (column 4 lines 23-42, column 11 lines 37-45, column 18 line 64 – column 19 line 7, wherein one or more storage device can be assigned as storage device A, B, and C, with each able to represent a plurality of storage devices) “each of said data storage servers operable to communicate over said network interface;” (Figure 1, Figure 13, Figure 21 references 100, 190, column 4 lines 1-13, column 13 lines 35-57, column 18 line 62 – column 19 line 24, wherein a storage device or a plurality of storage devices are connected to a system host)

“generating a first primary snapshot of said first primary volume, said first primary snapshot providing a view of data stored at said first primary volume at the time said first primary snapshot is generated;” (column 4 lines 43-53, column 13 lines 47-58, column 19 lines 8-24, wherein logical volumes are defined in storage device A that can represent data stored in a plurality of storage devices, acting as the primary data storage unit)

“creating a first remote volume distributed over one or more data storage servers within a cluster of data storage servers;” (column 4 lines 43-49, column 13 lines 47-58, and column 19 lines 11-37, wherein logical volumes in a different storage device separate from storage device A is defined)

“linking said first remote volume to said first primary volume;” (column 4 line 65 – column 5 line 5)

“and copying data from said first primary snapshot to a first remote snapshot associated with said first remote volume.” (column 10 lines 32-39, column 15 line 37 – column 16 line 28, wherein data written in the volumes of storage device A is also copied to volumes of storage device B)

As per claim 40, Arakawa teaches “generating a second primary snapshot of said first primary volume, said second primary snapshot providing a view of data stored at said first primary volume at the time said second primary snapshot is generated;” (column 16 lines 34-45) “and copying data from said second primary snapshot to a second remote snapshot associated with said first remote volume.” (column 16 lines 45-51)

As per claim 41, Arakawa teaches “said second primary snapshot includes data that has been modified at said first primary volume since said step of generating a first primary snapshot.” (column 16 lines 8-14, wherein snapshots are updated from the last snapshot taken)

As per claim 42, Arakawa teaches “copying data from said first snapshot to a second remote volume distributed over one or more storage servers within a cluster of data storage servers.” (column 19 lines 8-17)

As per claim 43, Arakawa teaches “copying said first remote snapshot from said first remote volume to a second remote volume distributed over one or more storage servers within a cluster of data storage servers.” (column 20 lines 38-53)

As per claim 44, Arakawa teaches “said steps of generating first and second primary snapshots are performed according to a predetermined schedule defined by a data management system.” (column 10 lines 26-31)

As per claim 45, Arakawa teaches “said steps of copying said first and second primary snapshots to said first and second remote snapshots are performed according to a predetermined schedule defined by a data management system.” (column 10 lines 32-39)

As per claim 46, Arakawa teaches “designating said first remote volume as a second primary volume, said second primary volume storing data from at least one application client independently of said first primary volume.” (column 12 lines 7-24)

As per claim 47, Arakawa teaches “said step of designating is performed following a failure of said first primary volume.” (column 13 lines 10-15)

As per claim 48, Arakawa teaches “said step of designating is performed following a determination by a user to create a second primary volume.” (column 13 lines 25-34)

As per claim 49, Arakawa teaches “designating said first primary volume as a second remote volume that is not able to write data from application clients.” (column 20 lines 15-24)

As per claim 50, Arakawa teaches “copying data written to said second primary volume to said second remote volume.” (column 20 lines 38-53)

As per claim 51, Arakawa teaches “generating a snapshot of said first primary volume;” (column 19 lines 25-37) “and designating said first primary volume as said second remote volume.” (column 19 lines 38-45)

As per claim 52, Arakawa teaches “resynchronizing said second primary volume with said second remote volume.” (column 20 lines 46-48)

As per claim 53, Arakawa teaches “generating a second primary snapshot of said second primary volume providing a view of data stored at said second primary volume at the time said second primary snapshot is generated;” (column 16 lines 34-45) “generating a second remote snapshot of said second remote volume providing a view of data stored at said first primary volume at the time said third primary snapshot is generated;” (column 16 lines 34-45) “copying data that has been modified at said second primary volume to said second remote volume.” (column 16 lines 45-54)

As per claim 54, Arakawa teaches “creating a volume at a cluster of data storage servers;” (column 4 lines 43-49) “designating said volume as a remote volume;” (column 4 lines 43-49) “linking said remote volume to said first primary volume;” (column 4 line 65 – column 5 line 5) “and setting a maximum bandwidth at which data may be copied to said remote volume.” (column 21 lines 27-30)

As per claim 55, Arakawa teaches “said step of setting is based on network bandwidth capacity and network utilization.” (column 20 lines 3-8)

As per claim 56, Arakawa teaches “scheduling a maximum bandwidth at which data may be copied to said remote volume.” (column 20 lines 3-8)

As per claim 57, Arakawa teaches “said step of scheduling is based on at least one of time of day and day of the week.” (column 20 lines 3-8)

As per claim 58, Arakawa teaches “said data management system is a distributed data management server distributed over one or more of said data storage servers.” (Figure 1, 12, 13, 21)

As per claim 59, Arakawa teaches “said primary volume comprises a plurality of logical blocks of data, and wherein said step of generating a first primary snapshot comprises moving a pointer associated with each of said plurality of logical blocks of data from said primary volume to said first primary snapshot.” (column 4 lines 50-59)

As per claim 60, Arakawa teaches “copying a first portion of said first primary snapshot to said first remote snapshot;” (column 16 lines 45-51) “recording that said first portion has been copied;” (column 16 lines 53-59) “and copying a second portion of said first primary snapshot to said first remote snapshot.” (column 16 lines 45-51)

As per claim 61, Arakawa teaches “said step of copying a second portion is interrupted, and said step of copying a second portion is re-started based on said step of recording.” (column 20 line 61 – column 21 line 5)

As per claim 62, Arakawa teaches “the amount of data included in said first portion is based on an amount of data contained in said first primary snapshot.” (column 16 lines 11-16)

As per claim 63, Arakawa teaches “the amount of data included in said first portion is determined based on an elapsed time period since starting said step of copying a first portion.” (column 20 lines 3-8)

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to the 35 USC 102(e) rejection of claims 1-63 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's main argument is Arakawa does not disclose "a storage server system and a data management system" comprising "at least one data management server operable to (a) define at least a first and a second cluster each comprising one or more data storage servers, (b) define at least one primary volume of data storage distributed over at least two of said storage servers within said clusters..."

Examiner respectfully disagrees with the alleged argument.

Examiner is entitled to give claim limitations their broadest reasonable interpretation in light of the specification. See MPEP 2111 [R-1]

Interpretation of Claims-Broadest Reasonable Interpretation

During patent examination, the pending claims must be 'given the broadest reasonable interpretation consistent with the specification.' Applicant always has the opportunity to amend the claims during prosecution and broad interpretation by the examiner reduces the possibility that the claim, once issued, will be interpreted more broadly than is justified. *In re Prater*, 162 USPQ 541,550-51 (CCPA 1969)

a. Applicant's argument is stated as Arakawa does not disclose "a storage server system and a data management system that each comprise a data storage device and a network interface, each of said data storage servers

operable to communicate over said network interface with at least one application client that will require data storage and at least one other data storage server”

In regards to the argument, Examiner respectfully disagrees. In Figures 1, 13, and 21, references are made to storage device A, B, or C, comprising a storage device or a plurality of storage devices, in connection with a system host and each other (reference 100, 180, 190). The storage servers not only communicate with a system host (references 700, 790) but also with a mainframe host computer that contains management software, including application software that requires storage, such as database management software (column 4 lines 14-23). Each storage device, whether made up of one or a plurality of storage devices, communicate with a specific system host and mainframe host computer containing the applications. Therefore, Arakawa teaches a storage server system and a data management system that each comprise a data storage device and a network interface, each of said data storage servers operable to communicate over said network interface with at least one application client that will require data storage and at least one other data storage server.

b. Applicant's argument is stated as Arakawa does not disclose a data management system that is operable to define at least a first and a second cluster, each comprising one or more data storage servers.

In regards to the argument, Examiner respectfully disagrees. In Figure 21, one can see that storage device A and C, each of which make up a plurality of storage devices, comprise one cluster in a data storage system, as they are controlled by a system host A and a mainframe host computer A in series, while storage device B, comprising a plurality of storage devices, are controlled by system host B and mainframe host computer B (column 18 line 64 – column 19 line 7). Therefore, Arakawa teaches a data management system that is operable to define at least a first and a second cluster, each comprising one or more data storage servers.

c. Applicant's argument is stated as Arakawa does not disclose define at least one primary volume of data storage distributed over at least two of said storage servers within one of said clusters.

In regards to the argument, Examiner respectfully disagrees. Attention is drawn to Figure 21 reference 500 and column 19 lines 8-24, wherein a logical volume of data is copied in a storage device of both storage device A and storage device C, the primary cluster comprising storage device A and storage device C. Data in a volume is then stored in both storage device A and storage device C, ready to be mirrored to a remote volume found in storage device B. Therefore, Arakawa teaches define at least one primary volume of data storage distributed over at least two of said storage servers within one of said clusters.

d. Applicant's argument is stated as Arakawa does not disclose defining a first primary volume of data storage distributed over at least two data storage

servers within a first cluster of data storage servers, each of said data storage servers operable to communicate over said network interface;

In regards to the argument, Examiner respectfully disagrees. As shown in the explanation above, a logical volume of data (Figure 21 reference 500) is copied into a primary cluster composed of storage device A and storage device C (column 19 lines 8-24). As shown above, each data storage server is able to communicate with a system host (references 700, 790) and also with a mainframe host computer that contains management software, including application software that requires storage, such as database management software (column 4 lines 14-23). Therefore, Arakawa teaches defining a first primary volume of data storage distributed over at least two data storage servers within a first cluster of data storage servers, each of said data storage servers operable to communicate over said network interface;

e. Applicant's argument is stated as Arakawa does not disclose "(c) creating a first remote volume distributed over one or more data storage servers within a cluster of data storage servers; (d) linking said first remote volume to said first primary volume; (e) and copying data from said first primary snapshot to a first remote snapshot associated with said first remote volume."

In regards to the argument, Examiner respectfully disagrees. Applicant is directed to the cited sections of Arakawa and the explanations given in the 35 USC 102(e) rejection of claim 39 above.

Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dangelino N. Gortayo whose telephone number is (571)272-7204. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tim T. Vo can be reached on (571)272-3642. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Dangelino N. Gortayo
Examiner

Tim T. Vo
SPE




TIM VO
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100